

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,965	LIANG, SIDNEY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to applicant's amendment (3/28/07).
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 3-14 and 16-24 [renumbered 1-22].
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date attached
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christine R. Cooke on 4/18/07.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 3, the integer "2" has been deleted and the integer --1-- inserted (so that the claim now depends from claim 1).

In claim 4, the integer "2" has been deleted and the integer --1-- inserted (so that the claim now depends from claim 1).

In claim 17, the number "15" has been deleted and the number --14-- inserted (so that the claim now depends from claim 14).

In claim 19, the number "15" has been deleted and the number --14-- inserted (so that the claim now depends from claim 14).

Specification

The objection to the specification, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment supplies the parentage.

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claim 13 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment clarifies the claim as appropriate.

102 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-3, 6-16, 19-26 and 29 under 35 USC 102(b), outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. First, with respect to the inadvertent inclusion of claims 2 and 15 in this rejection, the rejection was improper. Second, as applicant correctly points out, the use of WO 2004/075841 (the instant application's corresponding PCT) in the rejection was improper. The examiner regrets the errors.

Claims 2 and 15 have been canceled and their limitations incorporated into independent claims 1 and 14. With respect to the remaining claims, the rejection is moot.

103 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 4 and 17 under 35 USC 103(a), outlined in the previous Office Action, is withdrawn. As outlined above, the respective independent claims have been amended such that they no longer read on the cited art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-14 and 16-24 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art remains the prior art of record: 2002:0183552 A1. As outlined above, the cited prior art neither teaches nor suggests the instant process. Nor would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of the prior art in order to arrive at those of the instant invention. There is no motivation to do so.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian J. Davis
BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brian J. Davis
April 19, 2007